PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Charter One Bank

DOCKET NO.: 04-23901.001-C-1 & 04-23901.002-C-1
PARCEL NO.: 03-09-101-038-0000 & 03-09-101-039-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Charter One Bank, the appellant, by attorney Dennis M. Nolan of Law Office of Dennis M. Nolan, P.C., Bartlett; and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property is improved with a two-story office building with 7,330 square feet of above grade gross building area. The building was constructed in 1977. The improvements are located on 45,264 square foot site in Buffalo Grove, Wheeling Township, Cook County.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. support of this overvaluation argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$1,100,000 as of January 1, 2004. The appellant also submitted a copy of the final decision issued by the Cook County Board of Review establishing a total assessment for the subject of \$430,007. The appellant's attorney explained the improved parcel had a total assessment of \$368,411 reflecting a market value of \$969,503 using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessments for class 5A property of 38%. The vacant parcel had an assessment of \$61,596 reflecting a market value of \$279,982 using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level assessments for class 1-00 property of 22%. Based on these calculations the appellant indicated the subject property had a market value reflected by the assessments of \$1,249,485. The

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds $\underline{a\ reduction}$ in the assessment of the property as established by the $\underline{\mathbf{Cook}}$ County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

Docket No.	Parcel No.	Land	Impr.	Total
04-23901.001-C-1	03-09-101-038-0000	\$146,202	\$165,405	\$311,607
04-23901.002-C-1	03-09-101-039-0000	\$61,596	\$0	\$61,596

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect a market value of \$1,100,000.

The board of review did not submit its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" or any evidence in support of its assessed valuation of the subject property.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant in this appeal submitted an appraisal in support of the contention that the subject property was not accurately The appraisal estimated the subject property had a market value of \$1,100,000 as of January 1, 2004. The subject property had a total assessment reflecting a market value of approximately \$1,249,485 using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessments for class 5A property of 38% and class 1-00 property of 22%. subject's assessment reflects a market value greater than the appraised value presented by the appellant. The board of review did not submit any evidence in support of its assessment of the subject property or to refute the appellant's argument required by Section 1910.40(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. Based on this record the Property Tax Appeal subject property had a market Board finds the value \$1,100,000 as of January 1, 2004. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect a market value of \$1,100,000 using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessments for class 5A property of 38% and for class 1-00 property of 22% is justified.

Member

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Sharon U. Thompson

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 1, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Member

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for

filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.